**WMA contribution to the report of the Special Rapporteur on violence against women and girls to the UN General Assembly on violence against women and girls in sport**

1. The [World Medical Association](https://www.wma.net/) (WMA) is the global federation of National Medical Associations representing millions of physicians worldwide. It aims to ensure the independence of physicians and the highest possible standards of ethical behavior and care by physicians toward all people. The WMA provides ethical guidance covering a wide range of subjects, including health-related human rights, in order to promote and defend the basic rights of patients and physicians.
2. We thank the Special Rapporteur for the opportunity to provide our inputs on the issue of violence against women and girls in sport. The WMA has long condemned all forms of violence against women and girls, denouncing the devastating consequences for women's health, and more broadly for the family and society, and advising physicians on how to prevent and combat this scourge[[1]](#footnote-1).
3. With regard to sport in particular, we would like to draw your attention to the **World Athletics’ eligibility rules for female classification** about which we have the greatest reservations. These Regulations make participation in competitions by female athletes with differences in sexual development (DSD) conditional on medical intervention to reduce their natural level of testosterone in the blood.[[2]](#footnote-2).
4. We strongly contest the validity of these regulations from the point of view of human rights and medical ethics. By their coercive nature and detrimental impact on women, they contravene fundamental human rights to health, non-discrimination and the enjoyment of just and favourable conditions of work. Furthermore, the Regulations promote practices that directly violates the core ethical values of the medical profession enshrined in the WMA's universally recognized set of policies: beneficence, non-maleficence “do no harm’, justice and autonomy[[3]](#footnote-3). As we elaborate below, we feel they are a form of violence to girls and women in sport which deserves the Special Rapporteur’s attention in her upcoming report.

**Infringement to the freedom to choose and accept work**

1. While the Regulations state that “no athlete will be forced” to submit to medical assessment or interventions, the consequence of such refusal is exclusion from all events.[[4]](#footnote-4) Facing a set of forced choices does not allow athletes to make a truly voluntary decision about whether to undergo medical assessment and potential intervention which are prerequisites to carrying out their professional activity. This **forced choice** contravenes the right to work within the meaning of article 6 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights which guarantees “the right of everyone to the opportunity to gain his living by work which he freely chooses or accepts”. **A female athlete cannot decide freely on her work given the major consequences on her health of the conditions under which she has access to that work**.
2. From the perspective of medical ethics, the conditions required for the informed consent of the patient are not met, especially in the light of elements of coercion. The [WMA Declaration of Lisbon on the rights of the patients](https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-lisbon-on-the-rights-of-the-patient/) provides that “The patient has the right to self-determination, to make free decisions regarding himself/herself”.

**Infringement of the right of everyone to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health.**

1. The right to the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health is enshrined in the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. It is an inclusive right, extending beyond healthcare to the underlying determinants of health, and States must abstain from enforcing discriminatory practices relating to women’s health status and needs.[[5]](#footnote-5)
2. A medical intervention to reduce and maintain athletes’ natural level of testosterone in the blood is, in general, only appropriate when it is not coerced, where there is a medical need, and with attention to minimal invasiveness and side effects. The principle of beneficence enshrined in the WMA Declaration of Geneva is explicit in this respect, stating that the "health and well-being" of patients is the primary consideration of physicians. The WMA has therefore consistently opposed the World Athletics’ rules: “The mere existence of a condition caused by a difference in sex development, in a person who has not expressed a desire to change that condition, does not constitute a medical indication for treatment. Medical treatment solely to alter athletic performance is unethical”[[6]](#footnote-6).
3. In addition, the side effects of these medical interventions constitute risks that cannot be balanced against any health benefit because their purpose is compliance with sports eligibility rules. These include diuretic effects that cause excessive thirst and urination, electrolyte imbalance, liver toxicity, disruption of metabolism, inhibited steroid production, cortisol deficiency, headache, fatigue and nausea (for pharmacological interventions such as hormonal contraceptives or GnRH contraceptives),[[7]](#footnote-7) as well as compromised bone strength, chronic weakness, depression, diabetes, and sterilization (in the case of surgical interventions such as gonadectomy).[[8]](#footnote-8)In sum, WMA assesses these interventions as causing only harm.
4. Causing (or risking to cause) such harm, here coerced and for no reason related to health or well-being, constitutes a violation of the human right to health and of the medical ethical principles of beneficence and non-maleficence.

**Infringement of the principles of non-discrimination and social justice**

1. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights entrenches the principle of non-discrimination and the right to the equal protection of the law. Equally, the WMA’s Declaration of Geneva recognizes the principle of non-discrimination and justice by requiring physicians not to permit considerations such as age, disease, disability, ethnic origin, nationality, gender, sexual orientation or social standing to come in the way of their duty to their patients. This duty of physicians relates to the right of patients to appropriate medical care without discrimination.[[9]](#footnote-9)
2. If the World Athletics Regulations involve the surveillance of all women, those whose gender presentation does not match dominant stereotypes of femininity will be under particular scrutiny, and therefore investigated and stigmatized. As noted by United Nations human rights experts, the Regulations’ surveillance of all women, and the selection of a subset of women to investigate, reinforces negative stereotypes and stigma around race, sex, and gender identity and subjective expectations around which bodies are appropriate.[[10]](#footnote-10)
3. Because of the natural variation in sex development, some women’s athletes are therefore directly discriminated against, having to undergo a long-term and heavy medical intervention to be allowed to practice their chosen work in sport. What's more, assessing testosterone levels requires a series of intrusive and often offensive examinations and interrogations violating their privacy, bodily integrity and autonomy. **Through these coercive medical interventions, the Regulations openly deny women's rights, their dignity, their physical integrity and autonomy, in particular through denials of their right to control their own bodies**, including the right to make their own decisions about health and contraception.

**Infringement of the right of everyone to the enjoyment of just and favourable conditions of work**

1. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights recognizes “the right of everyone to the enjoyment of just and favourable conditions of work which ensure, in particular: (b) Safe and healthy working conditions”[[11]](#footnote-11). We do not see anything “just” or fair in discriminating a person on the grounds of her natural physiology. Likewise, we do not recognize any “favorable” or satisfactory working conditions in imposing heavy medical examinations and interventions (not to mention the important side-effects of the intervention) to allow a person to practice her profession.
2. To the contrary, **the constrained working conditions have a significant impact on the mental and physical health of athletes**. Particularly coercive conditions arise where athletes, their families, national federations and the team of agents, promoters, and sponsors supporting them, depend on their sporting career for their livelihood and economic stability. This has been shown to be the case insofar as the Regulations disproportionately affect athletes from under-resourced nations.[[12]](#footnote-12) This concern is more pronounced under the 2023 Regulations: while athletes previously could switch to unlisted events, they are now excluded from all events.
3. The “safe and healthy working conditions” a fundamental aspect of the right to just and favourable conditions of work, is closely related to other Covenant rights, in particular the right to the highest attainable level of physical and mental health[[13]](#footnote-13). As explained above, the medical intervention imposed by the World Athletic Regulations constitutes a definite risk to the health of the female athlete, depriving her from safe and healthy working conditions.

**Infringement to the patient-physician relationship**

1. The very nature of the physicians’ obligations under the World Athletic Regulations is corrupted from the outset, confronting them with an **ethical dilemma**: either they comply with the regulations and provide medical care to athletes for the purposes of athletic performance, at the risk of compromising the patient's health and well-being; or they oppose the regulations in accordance with the ethical values of their profession and find themselves in conflict with their athletics federation and at risk of losing their position.
2. By asking physicians to act deliberately in breach of their ethical duties to athletes, the Regulations hamper the trustworthy patient-physician relationship. The WMA sees in the patient-physician relationship “the fundamental core of any medical action centred on a person” which must be protected at any time “from interference from governments, other agents and institutional administrations in the practice of medicine”[[14]](#footnote-14).
3. This protection is essential because it is intrinsically linked to the patients' rights, autonomy and dignity. Likewise, “in order to carry out his or her ethical obligations, the sports medicine physician’s authority must be fully recognized and upheld, particularly when it concerns the health and safety of the athlete. Concern for the athlete’s health and safety must override the interests of any third party”[[15]](#footnote-15).

**Conclusion**

1. The World Athletic Regulations institute a **coercive system** in which certain female athletes are confined because of their natural physiological variations, resulting in a succession of harsh violations of their fundamental rights relating to health, non-discrimination and work, as well as the principles of medical ethics. Taken as a whole, we consider this system to be a form of blatant violence against women, within the meaning of the [United Nations Declaration on the Elimination of Violence against Women](https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/declaration-elimination-violence-against-women)[[16]](#footnote-16). As physicians, we are particularly outraged by this established pattern of human rights abuses. There can be no justification for such degrading treatment affecting the health, dignity and integrity of a person. **We therefore urge the Special Rapporteur to address this issue in her report and to clearly recognize the invalidity of the World Athletic Regulations from the point of view of human rights and medical ethics**.
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