

CAN INTERNATIONAL LAW INTEGRATE OUR REGION? POLITICS, INTERNATIONAL LAW, AND THE CRISES TO COME

This panel was convened at 9:00 a.m. on Thursday, March 30, 2023 by its moderator, Jorge Contesse of Rutgers Law School, who introduced the speakers: Professor Cecilia Bailliet of the University of Oslo, Norway; Viviana Krsticevic of the Center for Justice and International Law (CEJIL); Ofilio Mayorga of Foley Hoag; Maria Antonia Tigre of the Sabin Center for Climate Change Law, Columbia Law School; and Antonia Urrejola of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Chile.

THE ROLE OF SOLIDARITY AS AN INTERNATIONAL RIGHT AND DUTY WITHIN LATIN AMERICA

*By Cecilia M. Bailliet**

In 1936, U.S. President Franklin D. Roosevelt came to Buenos Aires and gathered all the presidents of the Americas for the first radio address in which they announced the signing of the Declaration of Inter-American Solidarity and Cooperation.¹ This instrument sought to promote regional integration and set the region apart from Europe, which was at war at the time. It formally committed to norms of a democratic form of governance, non-intervention, prohibition of forcible collection of pecuniary debt, and peaceful resolution of disputes by way of conciliation, arbitration, and international courts.

The Organization of American States (OAS) Charter was adopted in 1948 and expanded the scope of solidarity.² The preamble sets forth that American solidarity can only mean the consolidation on this continent, within the framework of democratic institutions, of a system of individual liberty and social justice based on respect for the essential rights of man.

Article 3 reiterated the recognition of the principle of solidarity as tied to the effective exercise of representative democracy.

Article 30 cites solidarity as the inspiration for a united effort to pursue social justice and development.

At the national constitutional level, solidarity is omnipresent within almost all constitutions, yet it is articulated in several different ways that would facilitate both regional and national integration: Bolivia,³

* Professor, University of Oslo, Norway.

¹ Available at: <https://www.oas.org/sap/peacefund/VirtualLibrary/Inter-AmericanConfMaintenancePeace/Treaties/DeclarationOfPrinciplesofInter-AmericanSolidarityCooperation.pdf>.

² Charter of the Organization of American States, entered into force December 13, 1951, 119 UNTS 3.

³ Constitution of Bolivia 2009, pmb. – Principle; Art. 8 Value for Social Gender Equality and Participation, Social Justice, Redistribution of Wealth; Art. 18 Free Health Care; Art. 19 Housing for Social Benefit for Rural and Disadvantaged Groups; Art. 43 Organ Donation; Art. 45 Social Security; Art. 51 Workers' Union; Arts. 51, 310 Cooperative Systems; Art. 218 Public Defender; Art. 255 International Relations; Art. 270 Decentralization; Art. 306 The Plural Economy; Art. 330 The Financial System; Art. 373 Access to Water.

49 Cuba,⁴ the Dominican Republic,⁵ Ecuador,⁶ Guatemala,⁷ Honduras,⁸ Nicaragua,⁹ Paraguay,¹⁰
 50 Suriname,¹¹ and Venezuela¹² have provisions invoking solidarity within international relations
 51 and international law. Argentina,¹³ Chile,¹⁴ Cuba, the Dominican Republic, and Ecuador refer
 52 to it in the context of development within all provinces. Costa Rica¹⁵ and the Dominican
 53 Republic refers to solidarity and the right of labor to organize collectively. Ecuador, Mexico,¹⁶
 54 Panama,¹⁷ Paraguay, and Peru¹⁸ refer to solidarity education. Bolivia, Colombia,¹⁹ Ecuador,
 55 Uruguay,²⁰ and Venezuela refer to solidarity rights of social security, health care, and/or access
 56 to water. Paraguay connects solidarity to the prohibition of domestic abuse. Venezuela refers to
 57 solidarity with Indigenous people. Several countries have provisions addressing solidarity
 58 responses to humanitarian situations. All of these solidarity criteria have formed part of the nor-
 59 mative foundation of Latin American International Law that set a framework for regional and
 60 national integration which we largely forgotten about and need to reconsider for implementation
 61 at the current time.

62 At the grassroots level, solidarity in Latin America evolved to being interpreted and imple-
 63 mented by civil society groups cooperating with international organizations and NGOs through
 64

66 ⁴ Cuba Constitution 2019, pmb. – International Solidarity; Art. 1 Principle; Art. 16(J) Obligation to Side with Victim of
 67 Aggression; Art. 47 Obligation of Solidarity; Art. 90 Solidarity as a Duty; Art. 169 Solidarity of Municipalities.

68 ⁵ Dominican Republic Constitution 2015, pmb. – Solidarity as a Value; Art. 26(4) International Solidarity; Art. 26(6)
 69 Economic Solidarity with the Americas in Support of Biodiversity and Defense of Raw Materials and Basic Products; Art.
 70 56 Solidarity with Families to Support Adolescent Development; Art. 75(10) Duty of Citizens for Social Solidarity,
 71 Respond to Humanitarian Situations; Art. 196 Public Investment in the Provinces; Art. 217 Orientation for
 72 Development and Economic Regime.

73 ⁶ Ecuador Constitution 2008, pmb. – International Solidarity; Art. 27 Education; Art. 32 Health Care; Art. 34 Social
 74 Security; Art. 66(15) Right to Develop Economic Activities; Art. 83(9) Duty of the Citizen in the Exercise of Rights
 75 and Enjoyment of Goods and Services; Art. 85 Provision of Public Policies and Public Goods; Art. 95 Public
 76 Participation; Arts. 238, 270 Decentralized Autonomous Government; Arts. 283, 288, 309, 311, 316, 318 Mixed
 77 Grassroots Solidarity Economy; Art. 320 Development Inclusion; Art. 355 Education; Art. 367 Social Security; Art. 397
 78 Prevention, Risk Management, Natural Disaster; Art. 416 International Relations; Art. 416(12) International Trade and
 79 Investment.

80 ⁷ Guatemala Constitution 1985, Art. 150 Solidarity with Central American States; Art. 155 International Solidarity.

81 ⁸ Honduras Constitution 1982, Art. 15 International Law; Art. 329 Economic Development Zones.

82 ⁹ Nicaragua Constitution 1987, Art. 3 International Solidarity Against Oppression and Discrimination; Art. 5 National
 83 Solidarity Against Domestic Exclusion to Support Marginalized People; Art. 73 Family Based on Solidarity Between Man
 84 and Woman.

85 ¹⁰ Paraguay Constitution 1992, Art. 60 Prohibition of Domestic Violence; Art. 73 Education; Art. 113 Cooperatives; Art.
 86 143 International Relations.

87 ¹¹ Suriname Constitution 1987, pmb. – International Solidarity; Art. 7(4) Collaboration with Other Peoples in the
 88 Combat Against Colonialism, Neo-colonialism, Racism, Genocide and in the Combat for National Liberation, Peace
 89 and Social Progress.

90 ¹² Venezuela Constitution 1999, pmb. – Multi-ethnic and Multi-cultural Society and Future Generation; Art. 2 Value;
 91 Art. 4 Decentralized State; Art. 75 Family; Art. 84 Health; Art. 123 Native People's Economic Rights; Art. 135 Civic Duty;
 92 Art. 152 International Relations Solidarity Among Peoples in the Struggle for Their Liberation and the Welfare of
 93 Humanity; Art. 299 Economic Regime; Art. 326 National Security.

94 ¹³ Argentina Constitution 1983, Art. 75 Development of the Provinces.

95 ¹⁴ Chile Constitution 1980, Arts. 3, 115 Development of the Provinces.

96 ¹⁵ Costa Rica Constitution 1949, Art. 64 Cooperatives and Workers' Associations.

¹⁶ Mexico Constitution 1917, Art. 3 Education.

¹⁷ Panama Constitution 1972, Art. 91 Education

¹⁸ Peru Constitution 1993, Art. 14 Education.

¹⁹ Colombia Constitution 1991, Art. 1 Principle; Art. 48 Social Security; Art. 49 Health Services; Art. 95 Civic Duty; Art.
 356 Distribution of Resources to Provinces; Art. 367 Public Services.

²⁰ Uruguay Constitution 1985, Art. 47 Water.

97 the establishment of solidarity cities to protect refugees and migrants, as well as solidarity initia-
98 tives aimed at the protection of trade unionists and human rights defenders, including those acting
99 on behalf of Indigenous rights.

100 At present, the Latin America is undergoing increased levels of inequality,²¹ poverty, social mar-
101 ginalization and exclusion, democratic recession, and return to authoritarianism. This is met with
102 civic protests, which in turn have had the consequence of wrongful prosecution, threats, attacks,
103 and murder of Indigenous land rights and environmental defenders, human rights NGOs, labor
104 rights, anti-corruption defenders, and women's rights activists by state and non-state actors.
105 There is a very real need to strengthen transnational civil society and reopen civic spaces essen-
106 tially pursuing international solidarity. The Inter-American Court of Human Rights acts in solid-
107 arity when issuing provisional orders protecting defenders and activists.

108 Contemporaneously, within the UN there is a draft declaration on the right to international solid-
109 arity that will be presented at the UN Human Rights Council. It seeks to articulate international
110 solidarity as a participatory right and duty, linked to freedom of expression and association in a
111 transnational context, which recognizes calls for collective action to respond to exclusion and mar-
112 ginalization of vulnerable groups. International solidarity is a liberating participation that frames
113 itself on the connections and communication between diverse individuals and groups between dif-
114 ferent countries; with increased impact due to digital technology and a globalized media. Human
115 rights are the shared values that are at the basis of solidarity.

116 All individuals alone and in association with each other would have the right to call for and ben-
117 efit from international solidarity. States, international organizations, and transnational companies
118 would have responsibilities, including the creation of mechanisms for reception and response to
119 communicated solidarity demands. States respect the right to international solidarity by not crim-
120 inalizing humanitarian actors who assist irregular migrants in solidarity or not subjecting civil soci-
121 ety groups pursuing solidarity actions to defend victims of state and non-state violence or protect
122 the environment to unnecessary or disproportional surveillance. The UN Counterterrorism Office
123 should not provide technological support to a state that is misapplying its counterterrorism law
124 against civic solidarity activists. A mining corporation would respect the right of international sol-
125 idarity by ensuring that its subcontractors permit labor unions, or Indigenous groups to present
126 solidarity demands regarding labor wages, working conditions, or the environmental impact of
127 the mining.

128 Adoption of this instrument would facilitate articulation of the need to review the best practices
129 of states, transnational companies, and international organizations to promote inclusive participa-
130 tion of marginalized groups, potentially utilizing reports to the UPR.

131 Because of the hesitance of Western states toward recognition of third generation rights, Latin
132 American states should pursue consensus to diminish polarization within the UN Human Rights
133 Council in order support the adoption of the Draft Declaration on the Right to International
134 Solidarity. The aim would be to promote a new era of solidarity to address the challenge of reduc-
135 ing social inequality, poverty, and exclusion that fragments our societies in order to promote
136 regional and national integration.

137
138 ²¹ Within Latin America, extreme poverty is 14.5% this year according to the Economic Commission for Latin America
139 and the Caribbean (Cepal).

97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144