

TANAKA Taro

From: miriam grossman <miriamgrossmanmd@hotmail.com>
Sent: 10 March 2021 03:16
To: IE Sexual Orientation And Gender Identity OHCHR; Registry OHCHR; Erin Brewer
Subject: [External] response to call for input to thematic report: gender, sexual orientation and gender identity

I fundamentally disagree with the radical and unscientific transgender ideologies that underpin your request for submissions for your thematic report on Gender, Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity. I believe that the very questions and definitions you use illustrate a gross overstepping of your mandate.

- I am deeply disturbed by what appears to be the end goals of the call for inputs to your thematic report on gender, sexual orientation and gender identity. Please consider our following concerns. • We believe that the leading questions and rhetoric used in your call for submissions demonstrate a clear bias in favor of radical sexual and gender theories and policies, which have been rejected by a large grouping of UN Member States on multiple occasions.
- The SOGI Independent Expert's mandate is to advance the rights of persons to be free from violence or unjust discrimination based on "sexual orientation" or "gender identity," however, your report undermines the very foundation upon which sex-based rights and protections are established.
- I support the protection of all fundamental human rights of all persons regardless of sexual orientation and gender identity. Your report, however, is clearly aimed at, among other things, advancing radical gender theories and ideologies that seek to erase all differences between men and women and undermine the hard-earned gains for women in the area of human rights.
- I denounce all "violence" and unjust "discrimination" regardless but would challenge your definitions for these two terms which go far beyond UN consensus agreements in harmful ways.
- I am concerned by what appears to be an imposition of controversial notions outside the internationally agreed human rights legal framework in ways that contradict the fundamentals of universality.
- I am deeply concerned that your current and past reports have and will go far beyond the content and scope of your mandate in harmful ways. Specifically, the thrust of your work is not in conformity with the principles as listed in HRC Res 5/1 which require "universality," "impartiality," "objectivity," "non-selectiveness," and a "gender perspective" as understood by States to mean a women's equality perspective and not a transgender perspective.



ONE HUNDRED PERCENT MD, ZERO PERCENT PC

DrGrossman@MiriamGrossmanMD.com

www.MiriamGrossmanMD.com

