**Outg. No. 1 / March 13, 2021**

 **Sofia, Bulgaria**

**Tо:**

**The Independent Expert on Protection Against Violence and Discrimination Based on Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity at United Nations Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner**

**CC То:**

**The United Nations Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner**

**The Parliamentary Groups of the National Assembly of the Republic of Bulgaria**

**The Council of Ministers of the Republic of Bulgaria**

**The Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Bulgaria**

**The Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Bulgaria**

**Dear Independent Expert on protection against violence and discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity,**

We are writing this letter concerning your request to international organizations for information that will be used as a base for the report about the human rights of LGBT persons to the 47th session of the Human Rights Council.

First of all, we would like to express our protest against the purpose of your call and your assumptions which are based on non-scientific theories and affirm the concept of new human rights which are not rooted in the unchangeable human characteristics (like skin color, race, ethnic origin, sex assigned at birth, etc.) but on the fluctuating and abstract ones as gender, sexual orientation and gender identity. According to the latest and most comprehensive scientific studies on the claims of the LGBT community about the so called “born that way” gene/s and the physical predisposition for the sexual behavior of the LGBT persons, it has been proven that the human sexuality is a complex subject related to multiple environmental factors which may strongly affect the gender, the sexual orientation, and the gender identity, and their perception. That is the reason why most legislative bodies of the countries in the world, which are also member states of the United Nations, base their gender non-discriminatory legislations on the scientifically proven biological sexuality assigned at birth and not on the anti-scientific variable ones, which are a result of personal development, external triggers and influences.

Secondly, we must say that it is a proven and undisputable scientific fact that only the women (females) can menstruate, get pregnant, and bear a child. The claims of the LGBT community that men (males) can also menstruate, get pregnant and give birth is nothing more than an ideological fallacy based on personal interpretation of the reality, similar to the theories about the flat earth, chipping via vaccines, and other popular false views. Our organization is helping pregnant poor single and troubled women to overcome the difficult obstacles in their lives, so they can be able to give birth to their children and care for them. We are not able to discriminate any woman that needs help based on her sex since only women can receive our services and support. We do not see any need or advantage for non-discrimination legislations based on gender fluidity which may “convert” men to women for any period of time, for any reason. The “conversion” of men to women actually never happens on the DNA level of the human being.

Thirdly, the attempts of international organizations, governments and non-governmental LGBT organizations, to include comprehensive sexual education (CSE) for children, including SOGI programs, in all the curriculums is nothing more than abuse of authority and power on global, national, or local level. The CSE has been proven as unsuccessful approach that influences negatively the sexuality of the children since their youngest age by destroying their childish modesty and purity. The sexualization of children since their earliest years by talking to them about penises, vaginas, masturbation, oral and anal sex, pleasure of the sexual intercourse, and abortions of unwanted human beings (including by gender or based on health status), combined with the SOGI delusion, leads to confusion about children’s sexual orientation, sexual identity and basic understanding about the family values like sex only out of real love and quality relationship, faithfulness to the marital partner, care about the most vulnerable human beings in the womb or for any member of the family or society, and so on.

We are deeply disturbed by the pressure that the UN Human Rights Office is putting on the governments with such anti-human rights calls as it plans to enforce an ideology which is against the men and women, the families and their children, and the societies as a whole. The acceptance of new, non-existing and lately developed human “rights” which are against the natural ones to life and freedom of expression, the one to marriage of man and woman only for procreation and sustainable development of the society, by protection of the family to create and raise children, is undoubtedly and ideological approach. We see this report and the collection of data for it as an attempt to silence everyone who thinks or acts differently in support the natural families and the real motherhood which are the reason all humans to exist today.

Furthermore, the attempt of the United Nation’s Human Rights Office to gather information via specific agents on the member state level to support future actions against persons and organizations based on their worldview, religious beliefs, and others, reminds us more about the past times of the communist regimes, where the national security services like KGB in USSR, Stasi in GDR, and DS in Bulgaria, were collecting data to be used against their ideological enemies. The time has proven that the so called “enemies of the people” or “ideological enemies” were actually on the side of the truth and the protection of the real human rights.

We strongly hope that such attempts as the current one, which are similar to the listed above, will be canceled by the disagreement and the efforts of most member states of the United Nations.

Our firm belief and understanding is that the intervention of the UN in the internal affair of the member states by supporting (morally and financially) organizations, which are expressing non-scientific claims and ideological views against the basic human rights, is unacceptable.

Best regards,

Ivaylo Tinchev
Chairman of the Managing Council
Pro-Life Choice Association
Bulgaria