

# Central Committee of Bulgarian Parents

Sofia, 5 Graf Ignatiev Str., 2nd floor, office 208  
UIC 206075296  
[brck@brck.bg](mailto:brck@brck.bg)

---

## Opinion

Concerning

**Call for input to a thematic report of the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (United Nations Human Rights) on the topic**

**“Gender, sexual orientation and gender identity”**

**Issued by UN Independent Expert on protection against violence and discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity**

With this opinion we, the members of the Association “Central Committee of Bulgarian Parents”, declare our strong and definite position

**AGAINST**

the growing tendencies for deliberate defamation and unclear interpretation of the gender identity of the human being, as well as for the attempts to impose sexual education and training of children at an early age.

### Reasons

It is obvious to any sane person that there are objective characteristics and qualities of the human being. They cannot be changed by the subjective means of modern concepts – such as the words "self-awareness" and "self-determination" widely used today.

Examples of such objective and unchanging characteristics inherent in each of us could be listed as follows:

- Each of us is a human being.
- Each of us was born on a certain date – which means that at a certain point in time he/she has a completely objective and unchangeable age.
- Each of us was born in a certain place – which means that he/she has a homeland.
- Each of us has specified two (we emphasize – neither more nor less, but two) parents – which characterizes him/her with the qualities of a particular genotype, that are expressed through skin color, race, nationality.
- Each of us belongs to one of the two (we emphasize – they are neither more nor less) objectively and biologically existing sexes.

Explaining these basic truths – especially to people who are sensible, claim to be highly qualified in certain fields, define themselves as experts, human rights defenders, etc. – seems rather strange.

Then – why is this necessary? What is the problem?

The problem – due to which in recent years there has been complete confusion in society regarding the basic truths – is the following:

**Concepts that have nothing to do with each other are deliberately, tendentiously and maliciously mixed.** It is argued that advocacy for one of them should be linked to change in the other.

These two concepts, in the case under consideration here, are the following:

- **Violence,**
- **Identity.**

Anyone who bothered to think would quickly come to the conclusion that these two concepts should not be interconnected at all.

It's obvious that:

- Each person has unique and naturally defined traits of identity, which we have defined above in words, such as biological species, age, nationality, genotype, sex – and many others;
- At the same time – and completely independently – any person may or may not be a violator – and this quality has nothing to do with those qualities described in the previous point.

For years – invisibly, insidiously and behind the scenes – the more or less clearly expressed suggestion is imputed in society, according to which some groups of people, defined by the signs of identity mentioned above, are by default violators. For example:

- By default, it is suggested and perceived that people of white race are violators. In the past 2020, this statement was even embodied in a very popular slogan, which became known all over the world, which tried to draw a dividing line between the races – declaring one of them as a victim in general and another – as a violator in general.
- By default, it is suggested and perceived that the main ethnic group in each country is a violator against the smaller ethnic groups. Quite curious is the fact that it is imposed only on certain countries "identified" as guilty. Others – even if in their historical past they had most methodically erased the indigenous population of their territory – often remain unmentioned. This bias, by the way, is in principle characteristic of what we are talking about here. It is characteristic of every manifestation of lying and manipulation – because the main topic discussed here, dedicated to the blurring of gender identity, is connected precisely with the words "lie" and "manipulation".
- By default, it is suggested and perceived that some nations – such as those defeated in the last two world wars in the past 20th century – are violators, while some other nations are victims. If this had been true in a certain historical period, it should be taken into account that historical periods are changing. Generations are changing, people in these countries are changing – and it is not appropriate to blame people, most of whom were not even born during the wars. By the way, this is exactly how the people living in these countries today should not be blamed (mentioned in the previous point), whose ancestors once used violence against the indigenous population on their territory. Because it is clear that the fight against violence must be directed at the violators – not at people who have never been such.

Following the points on our non-exhaustive list, we come to the main topic of this discussion. It is as follows:

- By default, it is suggested and perceived that the representatives of one of the two sexes (we emphasize – they are two) are violators, while the representatives of the other sex are victims. Clearly, this can be just as true or false as all of the above is true or false.
- But – continuing on this way – the proponents of this thesis offer an absurd solution, which is the following: **let's "fight" with this imaginary and alleged, presumed "violence"** – by the way, the presumption of guilt is denied in modern law all over the world – **by completely deleting the concept "sex"**.

The following is clearly visible:

- **The reasons for the decision are absurd;**
- **The decision itself is absurd.**

Where is the problem hidden?

As mentioned above, it is in the following – a deliberate, tendentious and malicious **mixing of two concepts** that have nothing to do with each other. They are:

- **Violence,**
- **Identity.**

Let's go back to the brief examples of identity we mentioned at the beginning. This will help us to trace the lack of connection between the concept of "identity" and the concept of "violence" attributed to it as related.

For example:

- A sign of identity is the biological species. Each of us belongs to the species *Homo Sapiens*, that is, each of us is a human being.

- It is quite possible that the species that is stronger – that is, human – will commit violence against species that are weaker – that is, animals. This, unfortunately, is happening.
- What is the solution? Let's choose between the following two options:
  - Solution one – through education we increase the awareness, kindness, compassion of each person, helping him/her to realize the inadmissibility of violence.
  - Solution two – the concept "species" is deleted by law. All people should be encouraged – and later obliged – to perform a surgical operation to change their species (optional) to a dog, cat, hamster, angora rabbit, brown bear, penguin or saber-toothed tiger. Having more options is strongly encouraged.
- In the same way – the sex is a sign of objective biological identity. Following the logic of the above, let us implement the completely serious and responsible decisions we have outlined – transposing them to the topic of this point.
  - It is possible for the sex that is stronger to commit violence against the sex that is weaker. This, unfortunately, is happening.
  - What is the solution?
    - Solution one – through education we increase the awareness, kindness, compassion of each person, helping him/her to realize the inadmissibility of violence.
    - Solution two – the concept "sex" is deleted by law. All people should be encouraged – and later obliged – to perform a surgical operation to change their sex – optionally – to a .....

Modestly and responsibly, humanly, we call on you:  
**Stop that.**

Speaking of all that has been said so far, we have not even reached the next horrifying item on the current agenda – named by its proponents with the plausible term "**early sex education**".

An integral part of the objective biological essence of the human being, as we mentioned at the beginning, is the concept of **age**. Attempts to **surgically correct** its indications are most often funny – especially when applied by performers in the entertainment genres of contemporary popular culture. On the other hand, attempts to **psychologically correct** its indications – especially among children – are most often horrifying.

Clear for everyone, but not for the "guardians" of the so-called "sex education" is the following – each age is characterized by certain indications, such as: height, weight, hormonal balance. These features are objective. Some of them can be changed by clumsy human intervention (not always for good), while others cannot be changed. These features determine the distinctive features typical for human **psychology** at any age. The mechanical "implantation" of certain qualities – such as the quality of sexuality – typical for a later age into an earlier one – is just as abnormal as everything described in the above lines.

What is more – it is clear to everyone that **doing exactly this is committing violence** – because a young child, caught in the big machine of the education system, would not be able to defend him/herself. The child could not resist the psychological "surgical intervention" that turns him/her into something he/she is not. We are afraid that – following this path – EU and UN legislators would open the door to **physical corrections**. This is already happening. Usually, one "correction" is justified by the other in the following way:

- The child is subjected to psychological violence by the educational system – as, through the so-called "sexual education", in his/her unformed consciousness are implanted concepts that his/her nature is not able to realize.
- These psychological traumas and violent changes in consciousness cause the child to make a "choice" – which, it is clear to everyone, cannot be described as "free" at all. Influenced by the suggestions, the child says "yes", answering the question: "will you have surgery?" – just like saying "yes" to the question: "do you want new toys?"

- This "yes", at a later stage, is proclaimed and manifested as grounds for physical violence against the child – that is, physical surgery – while terrified parents, whether they have been able to know or not, are unable to react on time.

Responsibly and humanly, we call on you:

**Stop that.**

Deliberately – although we are specialists in law – we did not fill the pages of this opinion with legal terms.

Because today we want something else. Let us appeal to you with the simple power of humanity:

**Stop that.**

*Association  
“Central Committee of Bulgarian Parents”*



[brck@brck.bg](mailto:brck@brck.bg)  
<http://www.brck.bg>

*Sofia,  
March 12th, 2021*