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1. **Introduction**
2. This is a submission by the Sexual Rights Initiative (SRI), a coalition of national and regional organisations based in Canada, Poland, India, Egypt and Argentina that work together to advance human rights related to sexuality at the United Nations.[[1]](#footnote-1)
3. We welcome the opportunity to provide inputs to the Special Rapporteur’s upcoming reports and priorities for his mandate. This submission primarily responds to questions 1 and 2 of Section C of the questionnaire regarding thematic priorities and challenges in realising the right to development. It draws on relevant recommendations previously made to other Special Procedures mandates[[2]](#footnote-2) and expands upon the following recommendations:
   1. **Recommendations regarding overall approach and cross-cutting themes**
      1. Recommendation 1: Adopt an intersectional approach to the right to development, which requires engaging with gender, racial and economic justice, among others;
      2. Recommendation 2: Adopt an economic justice approach to the right to development and its contribution to a human rights-based economy;
      3. Recommendation 3: Prioritise civil society participation and collaboration with other Special Procedures mandates
   2. **Recommendations regarding thematic reports**
      1. Thematic recommendation 1: Gender perspectives on the right to development
      2. Thematic recommendation 2: Degrowth and just transition
      3. Thematic recommendation 3: Right to development and self-determination in contexts of (neo-)colonialism, imperialism, occupation, and indebtedness
         1. Reparations for colonialism, slavery, Apartheid and environmental degradation
4. **Recommendations regarding overall approach and cross-cutting themes**

***Recommendation 1: Adopt an intersectional approach to the right to development, which requires engaging with gender, racial and economic justice, among others;***

1. We welcome the Special Rapporteur’s commitments to an intersectional perspective to his mandate and to civil society participation in that context, which is essential to any intersectional analysis of human rights.[[3]](#footnote-3) In this regard, we encourage the Special Rapporteur to build upon the previous work by this mandate,[[4]](#footnote-4) the Expert Mechanism on the Right to Development,[[5]](#footnote-5) and other mandates[[6]](#footnote-6) to address inequalities and the right to development from an intersectional perspective. As detailed below in the Thematic recommendations section below, we also believe that a thematic report specifically dedicated to gender and the right to development, using this intersectional approach, would contribute to filling a current gap on this topic in Special Procedures thematic reports, and in the broader human rights system.
2. Intersectionality offers us a radical critique of patriarchy, capitalism, white supremacy and other forms of domination, and it complicates any sense of gender, sex, class, race, caste or disability as singular and discrete identities.[[7]](#footnote-7) It rejects any hierarchy of one categorical determination over others and brings us to the conclusion that no form of oppression or subordination ever stands alone.[[8]](#footnote-8)
3. Therefore, we encourage the Special Rapporteur to issue intersectional analysis and recommendations that clearly outline the oppressive structures leading to some people, communities and countries being particularly affected and further marginalised by the denial of the right to development. While these are often presented as “vulnerable groups,” that vulnerability is not inherent to them, their identity or their belonging to a specific group. Instead, it is the product of structural discrimination and deliberate policies by States and other actors, including international financial institutions and investors. Naming and examining the structural factors shaping people’s experience is also essential in outlining the corresponding State obligations to redress the situation.[[9]](#footnote-9)
4. Key to that intersectional analysis is a deeper and more systematic engagement with class and class-based discrimination.[[10]](#footnote-10) The broader UN human rights system has occasionally but insufficiently[[11]](#footnote-11) named and engaged with class,[[12]](#footnote-12) despite its severe and wide-ranging impacts and despite the prohibition against discrimination based on social origin, property or birth in the UDHR,[[13]](#footnote-13) ICESCR,[[14]](#footnote-14) and ICCPR[[15]](#footnote-15) - prohibitions which have been “virtually ignored by Governments, United Nations human rights bodies and commentators.”[[16]](#footnote-16)
5. We believe it important for the Special Rapporteur, other Special Procedures mandates[[17]](#footnote-17) and the broader human rights system to adopt a class analysis of poverty, inequality, resource distribution and the right to development. Such an analysis articulates poverty not as the result of individual attributes,[[18]](#footnote-18) or as an “unfortunate by-product” of a certain system, but rather as an inherent feature of a society economically structured around class and exploitation by powerful actors invested in maintaining poverty,[[19]](#footnote-19) which is an essential condition for the realisation of their interests.[[20]](#footnote-20) This also means that analyses of poverty on the international stage must recognize the central historical and contemporary role of colonial exploitation, extraction and impoverishment of the Global South in the construction of ‘welfare states’ in the north,[[21]](#footnote-21) and the vested interest of Northern states and privileged classes across the world in maintaining an unjust and discriminatory economic status quo.[[22]](#footnote-22) The Expert Mechanism on the Right to Development's recent naming of the devastating impacts of "neoliberal hyper-globalisation and the shift toward market fundamentalism"[[23]](#footnote-23) can be built upon for this analysis.
6. Along with class-based discrimination, the operation of racial discrimination is sometimes erased in UN human rights spaces,[[24]](#footnote-24) but as the Special Rapporteur on Racism has noted, in many contexts, “class discrimination is also racial discrimination.”[[25]](#footnote-25) We encourage the Special Rapporteur to use a racial justice lens in his analysis of the right to development and its denial, and to build upon the work of the Special Rapporteur on racism and the Expert Mechanism on the right to development in that area.[[26]](#footnote-26)
7. Finally, continued analysis on the disability dimensions of the right to development would also be important.[[27]](#footnote-27) While the mandate of the Special Rapporteur on the rights of persons with disabilities has issued a report on international cooperation, that report did not use the frame of the right to development.[[28]](#footnote-28)

***Recommendation 2: Adopt an economic justice approach to the right to development and its contribution to a human rights-based economy and an equitable global economic order***

1. We welcome the Special Rapporteur’s emphasis on the contribution of the right to development to a human rights-based economy.[[29]](#footnote-29) In this regard, we encourage the Special Rapporteur to locate the right to development within a broader economic justice approach,[[30]](#footnote-30) and to make recommendations towards a more equitable global economic order.
2. Feminist propositions for economic justice have centred principles such as participation; intersectionality; sustainable, self-determined development from the local to the global; an economy centred around human rights, substantive equality, justice and the well-being of the planet; just (re-)distribution of wealth and resources for all, without monopolisation; feminist and cross-movement solidarity; a shift away from the disproportionate emphasis on a “productive economy” into a feminist decolonial green new economy; an equitable and just global trade order; debt justice; and a democratic global economic governance architecture.[[31]](#footnote-31) The Bailout Manifesto for a Global Feminist Economic Recovery in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic contains core principles and concrete recommendations to that effect.[[32]](#footnote-32)
3. Such an approach recognises that economic justice is essential for the realisation of gender justice - just as it is for racial, disability or climate justice - and treats these issues as inextricably linked. It is rooted in a feminist and human rights analysis of power and resource distribution and an intersectional understanding of substantive equality. It advocates for an expansive conception of poverty, a focus on economic inequality, and directly addresses the harms and logic of capitalism that permeate current conceptions of poverty, development and economics, including regarding care work. It challenges the unequal and unfair distribution of resources within and among countries, and makes the case for their redistribution through the right to development, progressive taxation, debt cancellation,[[33]](#footnote-33) and reparations for colonialism and environmental degradation. Finally, an economic justice approach necessarily challenges privatisation of natural resources and basic services, public and private partnerships, and multi stakeholder approaches as ‘solutions’ to end poverty and advance development. Rather, it requires dismantling corporate power, impunity and capture and holding states, international institutions and corporations accountable for laws, policies and practices that are extractive and exacerbate inequality.

*2.1. Debt justice*

1. Debt is a major barrier to the realisation of the right to development. As Gail Hurley pointed out, “[t]he right to development also implies the full realisation of the right of peoples to self-determination. In many contexts, however, onerous debt service obligations and related conditionalities often undermine country ownership of national development strategies, thereby threatening the right to development.”[[34]](#footnote-34) 60% of low-income countries are currently in, or at high risk, of debt distress.[[35]](#footnote-35) A recent UNAIDS report warns against the “pandemic triad” of HIV, COVID-19 and debt in developing countries,[[36]](#footnote-36) while a new UNDP report warns that 54 countries, which are “home to more than half of the world’s poorest people,” are facing a quickly escalating debt crisis and are in need of debt relief.[[37]](#footnote-37)
2. Among those countries is Sri Lanka, whose current economic crisis is a clear example of the devastating consequences of international financial institutions’ neocolonial and neoliberal loan conditionalities: following 17 IMF loans, and with debt repayments reaching new heights, Sri Lankans are bearing the brunt of shortages in medicines, food and essential products.[[38]](#footnote-38) In Kenya, for the first time debt repayment has recently surpassed the government’s recurrent expenditure on items such as civil servant salaries.[[39]](#footnote-39) In March 2020, Egypt’s annual debt service amounted to three times its education budget, or five times its health budget.[[40]](#footnote-40) The impacts of debt and related austerity on the population have only worsened since then, in the context of a new IMF loan agreement,[[41]](#footnote-41) currency devaluation and economic crisis.[[42]](#footnote-42) This has important gendered consequences and forces women and other marginalised people to step into the gaps left by the State, including through unpaid and underpaid care work.[[43]](#footnote-43)
3. We call on the Special Rapporteur to echo the calls to unconditionally cancel illegitimate debts by all lenders and provide reparations for the damages caused to countries, peoples and nature, due to the contracting, use and payment of unsustainable and illegitimate debts and the conditions imposed to guarantee their collection.[[44]](#footnote-44) Support for this recommendation is also found in the demands of the Campaign of Campaigns, which among other things call for a New Global Economic Architecture under the auspices of the UN and a Debt Cancellation and Workout Mechanism at the UN - eliminating the debt crisis while shifting power to the UN, where member States share equal decision-making roles and are mandated to act in service of human rights, international cooperation, and protection of the planet.[[45]](#footnote-45)

*2.2. Tax justice and illicit financial flows*

1. In addition to addressing tax injustice from a national perspective, including through progressive taxation policies, it is important to engage with the international economic structures enabling tax and economic injustice at the international level and allowing “proceeds of global tax abuse [to] almost always flow in the direction of the old empires, continuing the enriching of the global north by the global south.”[[46]](#footnote-46) We encourage the Special Rapporteur to join the Independent Expert on Foreign Debt[[47]](#footnote-47) and the UN Secretary-General[[48]](#footnote-48) in calling for a reform of the global tax system, including through a new global tax convention and body, in the recognition that the gaps and shortcomings of the international financial architecture allows for “unequal revenue collection within and between countries, [...] uneven wealth distribution and the pervasive increase in poverty and exclusion around the world.”[[49]](#footnote-49)
2. We also encourage the Special Rapporteur to continue building upon the analysis and recommendations on illicit financial flows from a right to development perspective,[[50]](#footnote-50) and to engage with feminist perspectives on this issue.[[51]](#footnote-51)

*2.3. Call for corporate accountability, including through the adoption of a strong binding treaty on transnational corporations, businesses and human rights*.

1. While we welcome the Special Rapporteur’s attention to the private sector in his 7P approach,[[52]](#footnote-52) it is important to go beyond “creating incentives” for responsible business conduct and instead affirming strong taxation and regulation for the private sector, including through the adoption of a strong binding treaty on TNCs, as a central prerequisite for the realisation of the right to development.
2. The rising power and extended reach of corporations is an urgent challenge confronting women and oppressed peoples. Concentration of wealth and power within the hands of multinational and transnational corporations distorts economic, political and social structures in favour of the interests of corporations; and entrenches the extraction and exploitation of labour and natural resources. At the international level, human rights abuses in the Global South by transnational corporations based in the Global North are a form of neo-colonialism overwhelmingly rewarded by impunity. As noted by the Special Rapporteur on racism, "the dominance in the extractivism economy of countries in the global North and hegemonic countries from the global South benefits such countries and their transnational corporations at the continuing expense of most countries of extraction in the global South"[[53]](#footnote-53) and cannot be understood as separate from its colonial origins.[[54]](#footnote-54) At the national level, large corporations are exerting their economic power by demanding massive bailouts from governments to weather the global recession.[[55]](#footnote-55)
3. In this context, we call on the Special Rapporteur to challenge approaches increasing corporate power and capture, often presented as ‘solutions’ to underdevelopment, such as privatisation and public-private partnerships. We believe this mandate should call for corporate accountability and State support for the adoption of a strong binding treaty on transnational corporations, other business enterprises and human rights, a process which has seen corporate and northern States’ attempts to block the treaty and dilute its obligations.[[56]](#footnote-56)

*2.4. Call for accountability from international financial institutions*

1. Economic inequalities between countries and the denial of the right to development are also enabled by the governance and priorities of international financial institutions such as the World Bank and IMF, whose human rights-adverse policies are primarily shaped by men from economic elites and wealthy countries. As Jason Hickel outlines, the heads of both the IMF and the World Bank are nominated by Europe and the US respectively; the G7 and the EU control a large majority of the votes in the two multilateral institutions, and the ratio of per capita voting allocations reveals that “the votes of people of colour are worth only a fraction of their counterparts.”[[57]](#footnote-57) In RESURJ’s recent publication on the IMF,[[58]](#footnote-58) which examines debt from a feminist perspective, Sanyu Awori and Marta Music remind that “[t]he IMF can never deliver gender justice, because it is not designed to do so: it is at the centre of a global economic system that extracts and exploits in explicitly colonial, gendered, racial and classist ways.”[[59]](#footnote-59)
2. Macroeconomic policies and mainstream economics are often androcentric, leading to the imposition of neoliberal policies, such as those mandating austerity, privatisation, structural adjustment or fiscal consolidation, without due regard for their negative human rights or gendered impacts – or for the expertise and recommendations of feminist economists and women’s rights advocates.[[60]](#footnote-60) This has been especially destructive in countries across the Global South, where the concept of structural violence has been used to describe the effects of neoliberalism, austerity and structural adjustment programmes, combined and compounded with the enduring impacts of colonial dispossession and domination.[[61]](#footnote-61)
3. From a gender perspective, macroeconomic policies “have led to rocketing inequality, austerity measures and the undermining of care services,”[[62]](#footnote-62) reduced social protection floors, and exacerbated economic disadvantage for women.[[63]](#footnote-63) We encourage the Special Rapporteur to critique austerity, privatisation and other neoliberal policies, and to reject them as invariably classist, sexist, racist and ableist in effect.[[64]](#footnote-64)
4. We also encourage the Special Rapporteur to continue contributing to the increasing human rights scrutiny on international financial institutions[[65]](#footnote-65) and to condemn the economic, racial, colonial and gender injustice in their policies, loan conditionalities, and governance structure.[[66]](#footnote-66)

*2.5. Unilateral coercive measures as a violation of the right to development*

1. We encourage the Special Rapporteur to elaborate on previous analysis of unilateral sanctions as violations of the right to development, as they “make it harder for entire populations to stay healthy and hamper the transportation of goods needed for economic development.”[[67]](#footnote-67) The Expert Mechanism on the Right to Development has also questioned the humanitarian impacts of sanctions[[68]](#footnote-68) and their repercussions on inequalities within and between countries.[[69]](#footnote-69)

***Recommendation 3: Prioritise civil society participation and collaboration with other Special Procedures mandates***

1. We encourage the Special Rapporteur to take a collaborative and participatory approach to his mandate. In this regard, we would like to refer to the joint submission made by 17 civil society organisations to the 2023 Annual meeting of Special Procedures on good practices and recommendations regarding civil society participation in the work of Special Procedures.[[70]](#footnote-70)

1. We also encourage the Special Rapporteur to work with other Special Procedures mandates so that they integrate the right to development in their own work and from the perspective of their respective mandates. This is essential for the policy coherence emphasised by the Special Rapporteur,[[71]](#footnote-71) and to counter the marginalisation of the right to development and economic, social and cultural rights in UN human rights spaces.

**III. Recommendations regarding thematic reports**

***Thematic recommendation 1: Gender perspectives on the right to development***

1. The Special Rapporteur is uniquely positioned to put forward analysis showing the inherent links between gender equality, resource distribution and the right to development. This is essential, especially in a multilateral context where powerful wealthy northern states continue to sideline, dismiss and marginalise the right to development and its challenge to inequalities between countries,[[72]](#footnote-72) and treat it as a separate issue from gender and women’s human rights, when most women in the world who live in poverty do so as a result of a systematic and deliberate disregard by northern states and corporations for Global South countries, peoples and people’s right to development.[[73]](#footnote-73) Recent negotiations on a draft convention on the right to development[[74]](#footnote-74) were no different, with Global North countries continuing to resist the codification of the right to development,[[75]](#footnote-75) several States opposing the concept of gender and the Expert Drafting Group following suit in its commentary and revisions of the draft instrument.[[76]](#footnote-76)
2. The marginalisation and dismissal of issues of redistribution of resources[[77]](#footnote-77) is not gender-neutral; just as the marginalisation of economic, social and cultural rights is not gender-neutral. It is part and parcel of a patriarchal system positioning civil and political rights as the “real” human rights - and their violations, expressed in narrow (overwhelmingly male) terms, as the “real” human rights violations deserving of scrutiny.[[78]](#footnote-78) It is also indicative of a broader Northern commitment to a racially discriminatory status quo.[[79]](#footnote-79)
3. The Working Group on discrimination against women and girls’ recent report on feminist and human rights- based approaches to poverty[[80]](#footnote-80) and its recognition of the links between gendered socioeconomic inequality and the right to development is a welcome advance in this regard. The Special Rapporteur would be uniquely placed to further elaborate on the central role of the right to development and resource distribution for the realisation of gender, racial, economic and climate justice.

***Thematic recommendation 2: Degrowth and just transition***

1. We welcome the Special Rapporteur's inclusion of Planet and the environment within his 7P approach,[[81]](#footnote-81) and encourage him to build upon the mandate's previous work on climate action and a just transition, and to examine this within a degrowth framework that challenges capitalism, its central role in entrenching poverty and inequality, and its focus on economic growth at any human and environmental cost. This focus would be in line with the Special Rapporteur's acknowledgement that "the right to development should not be equated or confused with a mere focus on economic growth."[[82]](#footnote-82)
2. In this context, a critical stance on capitalism and its focus on economic growth as the be-all and end-all of development, without regard for human or environmental costs, is needed.[[83]](#footnote-83) As we stated in a joint submission with AWID and IWRAW Asia Pacific to the Working Group on discrimination against women and girls,[[84]](#footnote-84) a focus on economic justice recognizes that poverty is not a ‘fact of life’; rather, it is an inherent feature and requisite of capitalism,[[85]](#footnote-85) and a form of structural violence.[[86]](#footnote-86)
3. Capitalism cannot exist without poverty; yet much of the UN work being done to address poverty does not fundamentally question capitalism - in line with a “collective inability to imagine the end of capitalism.”[[87]](#footnote-87) The 2030 Agenda for sustainable development also assumes “the need for never-ending economic growth” as part of development and poverty alleviation.[[88]](#footnote-88) However, as Ntina Tzouvala notes, “[t]he promise of international law that capitalism, or nowadays aggressive neoliberalism, will pave the way for legal status and equality is one that always hangs on a thread. Playing this game involves trying to adapt to a model of producing and consuming that is fundamentally unsustainable.”[[89]](#footnote-89) The Expert Mechanism on the Right to Development's recent naming of the devastating impacts of "neoliberal hyper-globalisation and the shift toward market fundamentalism"[[90]](#footnote-90) is an important recognition in that regard.
4. Many have argued for human rights law to go “beyond growth assumptions,” require stronger material equality,[[91]](#footnote-91) and focus more strongly on redistribution,[[92]](#footnote-92) including the Working Group on discrimination against women and girls,[[93]](#footnote-93) the Special Rapporteur on extreme poverty,[[94]](#footnote-94) the Special Rapporteur on racism,[[95]](#footnote-95) and the Expert Mechanism on the Right to Development.[[96]](#footnote-96)
5. De-growth movements have also contested the centrality of economic growth, measured in GDP increase, to argue for “a shift towards a lower and sustainable level of production and consumption” including the reduction of “resource-, energy- and emission-intensive superfluous production, particularly in the North.”[[97]](#footnote-97) From a feminist perspective this would also entail a redefinition, redistribution and revalidation of labour, including unpaid care work.[[98]](#footnote-98)
6. The UN human rights framework would benefit from engaging more deeply with degrowth arguments, including in opposing "green capitalism" or "green growth" approaches to the climate crisis.[[99]](#footnote-99) Degrowth would also benefit from a right to development perspective, in line with its roots in anti-colonial politics.[[100]](#footnote-100) Where growth or production is required, we invite the Special Rapporteur to ask growth and production of what, for what purpose, by whom, and to whose benefit?[[101]](#footnote-101)

***Thematic recommendation 3: Right to development and self-determination in contexts of (neo-)colonialism, imperialism, occupation and indebtedness***

1. We welcome the 7P approach proposed by the Special Rapporteur, particularly the attention to the ongoing impacts of colonisation.[[102]](#footnote-102) A thematic report specifically dedicated to the right to development, including self-determination and other collective components of the right, and its meaning in a context of (neo-)colonialism, imperialism and occupation would be a very welcome addition to the mandate. Indeed, so far the mandate's thematic work has only very rarely explicitly named colonialism and its impacts on the right to development.[[103]](#footnote-103)
2. This is especially important given the roots of the right to development in anti-colonial struggles[[104]](#footnote-104) and its potential "to enable claims for the transfer of resources and self-determination as urgent precursors of all human rights obligations."[[105]](#footnote-105) The continued opposition by wealthy states to the adoption of an instrument on the right to development, signals the North's “commitment to an unjust and discriminatory status quo”[[106]](#footnote-106) and to the largely voluntary commitments and Northern priorities dominating the international development framework.[[107]](#footnote-107) Indeed, the Special Rapporteur on Racism has found that the 2030 Agenda is “incapable of fundamentally disrupting the dynamic of racially discriminatory underdevelopment embedded in the international economic order” and “preserves colonial injustice, perpetuates the domination of powerful nations over peoples and territories that were subject to historical colonial extraction and preserves structural racial discrimination within nations.”[[108]](#footnote-108)
3. As part of the analysis on self-determination and sovereignty, we encourage the Special Rapporteur to include economic sovereignty and the right to development within what the Working Group on people of African descent called a “liberatory agenda for a human rights economic framework [which] should prioritise economic sovereignty, sovereign control of currency, and availability of a state’s resources for its own development.”[[109]](#footnote-109)
4. The rights to development and to self-determination are central claims for peoples subjected to ongoing settler colonialism and occupation, including in Palestine. As noted by the former Special Rapporteur on the oPt[[110]](#footnote-110) and Al-Haq,[[111]](#footnote-111) among others, the right to development is crucial "for the occupied Palestinian people to access their natural wealth and resources, which is systematically and routinely denied by the Israeli occupation, colonisation and annexation of the territory."[[112]](#footnote-112)
5. A thematic report on this topic would also allow for continued analysis on the collective components of the right to development, and its meaning for Indigenous peoples and other "similarly situated peoples that are not accommodated by dominant development paradigms."[[113]](#footnote-113) This is especially important in a multilateral context in which collective rights tend to be dismissed by powerful States and actors.

*3.1. Reparations for colonialism, slavery, Apartheid and environmental degradation*

1. We welcome the Special Rapporteur's recognition that States and people benefitting from colonialism have a responsibility to contribute to the right to development.[[114]](#footnote-114) However, this responsibility is not merely moral; the Special Rapporteur on racism has articulated the human rights legal obligations to provide reparations for racially discriminatory violations of human rights, including colonialism and slavery, in her 2019 report on reparations.[[115]](#footnote-115) This obligation has also been asserted and affirmed by several other Special Procedures mandates,[[116]](#footnote-116) and we encourage the Special Rapporteur to treat it as such and to further elaborate on that analysis as part of his mandate.
2. We encourage the Special Rapporteur to draw from the work in this area by the Special Rapporteur on racism[[117]](#footnote-117) and the Expert Mechanism on the right to development.[[118]](#footnote-118) However, while the Expert Mechanism’s study on racism and the right to development rightly contextualises contemporary manifestations of racism as legacies of colonialism and slavery and an undeniable barrier to the right to development, it is important to heed the Special Rapporteur on racism’s recommendations on reparations as an essential step towards racial justice within and among states,[[119]](#footnote-119) which the Expert Mechanism unfortunately did not elaborate upon.[[120]](#footnote-120) Countries that have amassed enormous wealth through the subjugation of entire peoples and exploitation of their natural resources, and continue to do so with the support of international financial institutions, owe a debt that must be paid.[[121]](#footnote-121)
3. Reparations for slavery and colonialism include the eradication of persisting structures of racial discrimination built under colonialism,[[122]](#footnote-122) which encompasses the transformation of a global economic order “ridden with systemic racism”[[123]](#footnote-123) and set up to benefit wealthy, Global North countries[[124]](#footnote-124) and corporations, including through its debt architecture.[[125]](#footnote-125) This economic control by the Global North over the Global South also takes place through its dominance in the governance of the IMF and the World Bank,[[126]](#footnote-126) as also noted by the Special Rapporteur on racism.[[127]](#footnote-127)
4. In addition, reparations must be provided for the racially discriminatory causes and consequences of environmental degradation and climate change, which directly result from capitalism, colonialism and racism, and are most acutely felt by countries and communities subjected to racial and economic discrimination and exploitation,[[128]](#footnote-128) with demonstrated gendered impacts.[[129]](#footnote-129) This unpaid environmental debt has led us to a climate emergency in which, additionally, “almost 75% of climate finance comes in the form of debt, preying on disaster and on the most impacted countries from the Global South.”[[130]](#footnote-130)
5. We call on the Special Rapporteur to echo the call made by other UN human rights bodies, and to urge States having inflicted and benefited from colonialism to pay their own colonial debt and provide full reparations for colonialism, slavery, Apartheid and racial discrimination, as well as for their role in the climate crisis and environmental degradation.[[131]](#footnote-131)
6. We also call on the Special Rapporteur to position debt cancellation as an essential step towards racial justice on the global stage, as also reasserted by the Working Group of Experts on People of African Descent, who called for “debt cancellations in response to both historical and contemporary discriminatory practices.”[[132]](#footnote-132)
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