

Thank you chair,

On behalf of DKA Austria, Child Rights Connect, ECPAT International, Clínica de Direitos Humanos UFMG and Clínica de Direitos Humanos PPGD/PUCPR.

Art 9.1 only refers to claim brought by “victims”. While it could be understood as implicitly including their representatives, an explicit reference to them could be more child sensitive

We therefore propose

9.1. Jurisdiction with respect to claims brought by **to add or on behalf of** victims

Although it does not specify it explicitly, Art 9 only addresses the issue of jurisdiction over civil complaints, leaving aside criminal complaints, despite Art. 8’s provision allowing for possible criminal liability for business enterprises, and administrative complaints

We therefore propose:

New Art. 9.3. Provision regarding jurisdiction with respect to criminal claims, including the provision for universal jurisdiction for certain crimes.

We also propose to add

New Art. 9.4. Provision regarding jurisdiction with respect to administrative claims

The new draft replaces fair trial with fair judicial process, which does not convey the full meaning of the rights to a fair trial as recognized in international human rights law. We would suggest restoring “fair trial” or (“fair judicial hearing”) to this language at Art 9.5

Thank you chair for taking our proposal into consideration.