## **UN Human Rights**

## **UNGP Effectiveness Criteria**

Lene Wendland, Chief, Business and Human Rights Unit of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human rights





## UNGPs and the Effectiveness Criteria: Background

- 2005: Appointment of SRSG
- 2008: Protect, Respect and Remedy Framework (A/HRC/8/5)
  - First draft of effectiveness criteria
- 2009-10: Pilot project of the effectiveness criteria (A/HRC/17/31/Add.1)
  - Revised effectiveness criteria
- 2011: UN Human Rights Council unanimously endorses the Guiding
   Principles on Business and Human Rights
  - Evidence-based: voluminous research, 47 multi-stakeholder consultations







# **UNGP Pillar III and the Accountability and Remedy Project**

State-based judicial mechanisms
UNGP 26



**Accountability and Remedy Project Phase I (ARP I)**2014-16

State-based non-judicial grievance mechanisms
UNGP 27 (and 31)



Accountability and Remedy Project Phase II (ARP II) 2016-18

Non-State-based grievance mechanisms UNGPs 28-30 (and 31)



Accountability and Remedy Project Phase III (ARP III) 2018-20





### **UNGP 31(a)**: Legitimate

- enables trust from rights holders;
- fair processes, protected from undue interference

- Proactively disseminate info
   on how the mechanism works
- Manage user expectations
- Regular consultation with rights holders on design
- Mechanism is sufficiently independent from business operations

- Minimize conflicts of interest
- Suitably qualified and trained personnel
- Understanding of local context
- Sensitivity to those in situations of vulnerability





## **UNGP 31(b)**: Accessible

- rights holders are aware of the mechanism;
- rights holders receive assistance to overcome barriers to access
   (for instance relating to language barriers, cost, or fears of reprisal)

- Proactive awareness raising and targeted outreach
- Does not prevent users from using other mechanisms

- Clear, minimal eligibility criteria
- Safe, free access, ensuring risks of retaliation are assessed and addressed
- User-friendly processes: easy to lodge grievance and engage • in process
- Availability of materials and services in accessible formats





## **UNGP 31(c)**: Predictable

 procedures, available outcomes, and means of monitoring implementation are clear and publicly known

- Well-defined and suitably flexible time frames for each stage of grievance process
- Public information on mechanism policies, processes and capacities
- Avoid overpromising re: potential remedies available

- Meaningful consultation with rights holders before joining grievances or involving third parties
- Clear policies regarding cooperation with other mechanisms, with safeguards in place to prevent retaliation



### **UNGP 31(d)**: Equitable

 affected stakeholders are given reasonable access to information and expertise to engage on fair and informed terms

- Provide (or direct users to sources of) advisory, technical and financial support
- Parties can obtain and comment on relevant information and decisions
- Rights holders have the right to withdraw from process

- Options to challenge / appeal
- Technologies used in connection with grievance processes respect rights
- Allow rights holders to decide who represents them



## **UNGP 31(e)**: Transparent

- in individual cases, parties should be kept informed of progress;
- the mechanism's overall performance is known through publiclyavailable statistics

- Ongoing and proactive
   engagement between the
   mechanism and parties to a
   grievance (e.g., on the status
   of decisions, next steps, etc.)
- Publication of information on
  - Grievances referred to mechanism
  - Number of grievances received vs. resolved
  - Outcomes of processes
- Use of (dis)aggregated stats depending on context





## **UNGP 31(f)**: Rights-compatible

outcomes and remedies accord with internationally recognized human rights

- Assess and address implications of remedies to avoid contributing to further harm
- Remedies should be adequate, effective, prompt, culturally appropriate, and gender-sensitive
- Affected stakeholders are consulted about the type of remedy and manner it which it should be delivered
- Empower rights holders
- Mechanism has plan to address non-implementation of outcomes





## **UNGP 31(g)**: A source of continuous learning

#### Lessons learned from the mechanism should:

- be used to improve the mechanism itself;
- influence policies/practices of company to prevent future harm

- Seek feedback on parties' experiences
- Keep records on frequency, patterns and causes of grievances
- Develop strategies to improve mechanism

- Feed into company human
   rights due diligence processes
- Share information that may tend to reveal the possibility of sector-specific or systemic issues





## UNGP 31(h): Based on engagement and dialogue

- affected stakeholders are consulted about the design and performance of mechanism;
- decisions are arrived at through dialogue with those affected

- Develop an understanding of local contexts
  - Draw from mediation best practice
- Meaningfully and regularly consult stakeholders about their needs and expectations
- Use joint investigation and problem solving
- Provide multiple avenues for stakeholder views
- Rely on independent third party adjudicators where necessary





#### **UNGP 31**: Effectiveness Criteria

- A. Legitimate enabling trust from the stakeholders for whose use they are intended and being accountable for the fair conduct of the process
- **B.** Accessible being known to all affected stakeholder groups, providing assistance where relevant
- C. Predictable clear and known procedure with an indicative time frame, clarity on types of processes and outcomes they offer
- **D. Equitable** seeking to ensure that aggrieved parties have reasonable access to sources of information, advice and expertise
- **E. Transparent** keeping parties informed about progress and providing information on the mechanism's performance
- **F. Rights-compatible** outcomes and remedies accord with internationally recognized human rights
- **G.** A source of continuous learning identifying lessons for improving the mechanism and preventing future harms
- H. For operational-level grievance mechanisms: Based on engagement and dialogue consulting with stakeholder groups for whose use they are intended on design / performance, and focusing on dialogue to resolve grievances





# **Thank You!**

For any follow up:

ARP Generic Email: business-access2remedy@ohchr.org



