

## Accountability and Remedy Project III

### Agenda

#### Geneva Multi-Stakeholder Consultation

28 November 2019

Palais des Nations, Room XXIV, 10:00 – 13:00, 15:00 – 18:00

### Enhancing effectiveness of non-State-based grievance mechanisms in cases of business-related human rights abuse

28 November 2019	
10:00 – 11:00	<b>Welcome and Introduction</b>
11:00 – 12:00	<p><b>A. Current use of non-State-based grievance mechanisms in cases of business-related human rights abuse</b></p> <p>A.1 Non-State-based grievance mechanisms are generally regarded by stakeholders as a welcome addition to the options available to remedy-seekers</p> <p>A.2 Non-State-based grievance mechanisms are viewed as a means by which flexibility and choice for rights-holders can and should be enhanced</p> <p>A.3 The quality of stakeholder engagement with respect to the design of a grievance mechanism is a strong indicator of that mechanism's effectiveness</p> <p>A.4 Non-State-based grievance mechanisms tend to be limited in the types of remedies they can provide</p> <p><i>Discussion Questions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Do you agree with the observations made in this section? If not, why not?</i></li> <li>• <i>Are there any further issues, challenges, trends, or opportunities that you would like to bring to the attention of the ARP III team? If so, what are these?</i></li> </ul>
12:00 – 13:00	<p><b>B. Notable trends relating to the design and performance of non-State-based grievance mechanisms</b></p> <p>B.1 Growing sophistication of methodologies aimed at giving closer attention to the needs and perspectives of users</p> <p>B.2 Recognition of the importance and value of educational and training activities as an integral part of outreach</p> <p>B.3 Intensification of demands by rights-holders for “independent” grievance mechanisms</p> <p>B.4 Increasing use of binding approaches</p>

	<p>B.5 Rapid growth in technologies with the potential to improve accessibility, efficiency, and user experiences of grievance mechanisms</p> <p><i>Discussion Questions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Do you agree with the observations made in this section? If not, why not?</i></li> <li>• <i>Are there any further issues, challenges, trends, or opportunities that you would like to bring to the attention of the ARP III team? If so, what are these?</i></li> </ul>
<p>13:00 – 15:00</p>	<p><i>Break for Lunch</i></p>
<p>15:00 – 16:15</p>	<p><b>C. Legal, structural, and policy issues in need of further attention and prioritisation</b></p> <p>C.1 Maintaining flexibility for rights-holders while avoiding fragmentation and duplication: Where should the balance be struck?</p> <p>C.2 Private grievance mechanisms in a public law setting: Understanding and appreciating the value and role of wider regulatory regimes</p> <p>C.3 Implications of the growth in non-State-based grievance mechanisms for domestic legal development</p> <p>C.4 Global, regional, or local?: Non-State-based grievance mechanisms as a response to cross-border business and human rights challenges</p> <p>C.5 Protection of people from retaliation and intimidation as a result of the actual or potential use of non-State-based grievance mechanisms: The distinct but complementary roles of State-based and non-State-based mechanisms</p> <p>C.6 Agency, autonomy, and effective representation of affected individuals and communities</p> <p>C.7 Rights-compatible outcomes: Understanding and addressing the short-, medium-, and long-term human rights implications of remedial outcomes</p> <p><i>Discussion Questions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Do you agree with the observations made in this section? If not, why not?</i></li> <li>• <i>Are there any further issues, challenges, trends, or opportunities that you would like to bring to the attention of the ARP III team? If so, what are these?</i></li> </ul>
<p>16:15 – 17:30</p>	<p><b>D. Practical implementation of the UNGP “effectiveness criteria”</b></p> <p><i>Discussion Questions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Do you have any concerns about the examples included in the table in the annex? If so, what are these?</i></li> <li>• <i>What further examples should OHCHR be made aware of for the purposes of this illustrative list (and why)?</i></li> </ul>
<p>17:30 – 18:00</p>	<p><b>Next steps and closing</b></p>